

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

Twana Ahmed,

Plaintiff,

V.

**Universal Protection Service, LP,
d/b/a Allied Universal Security
Services**

Defendant.
































CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:23-cv-02823

Jury Trial Demanded

**PLAINTIFF TWANA AHMED'S OBJECTIONS
CONCERNING DEFENDANT'S AMENDED EXHIBIT LIST**

1. authenticity

Under the Court's Procedures § 12B, the parties are to put each other on notice of any objections to authenticity. Based on information known to date, Twana Ahmed renews his previous objections [ECF Doc. 55] as to the authenticity of the following exhibits.

- Because defendant apparently forged a signature on AUS 1055, Mr. Ahmed has legitimate concerns about, and so objects to the authenticity of defense [exhibits 1, 3, 4, 5, 23, 25, 27, 31, 32, 34, 35, 51-55, 65, 66, 81, 82, 83, 89](#).
- Defense [exhibit 6](#) is not what defendant purports it to be. Bates numbered documents AUS 938-989 contain multiple documents rather than a single acknowledgment form.
- Defense [exhibits 18-20](#) are not what defendant purports them to be—namely proof of any training administered or delivery of an item to Mr. Ahmed. As a result, Mr. Ahmed has legitimate concerns about, and so objects to its authenticity.
- Defense [exhibit 22](#) is not what defendant purports it to be. Bates numbered documents AUS 448-449 appear to be pages from Defendant's employee manual.
- Defense [exhibit 68](#) refers to videos that defendant has not produced. As a result, and because defendant apparently forged a signature on AUS 1055, Mr. Ahmed has legitimate concerns about, and so objects to its authenticity.
- Defense [exhibit 73](#) is not what defendant purports it to be. Bates numbered document AUS 495-497 is a communication from Mr. Ahmed's counsel, not a letter written by Mr. Ahmed.

Defendant filed an amended exhibit list on July 18, 2025 [ECF Doc. 65-1]. Based on information known to date, Twana Ahmed objects to the authenticity of the following exhibits.

- Because defendant apparently forged a signature on AUS 1055, and did not produce any of the following exhibits until June 25, 2025 or July 3, 2025, Mr. Ahmed has legitimate concerns about, and so objects to the authenticity of defense [exhibits 85, 90, 92, 93, 94, 95](#).

Agreement to authenticity of any other exhibit does not mean agreement to accuracy on the facts within those exhibits.

2. objections beyond authenticity — request for approval of parties' agreed, proposed modification

The parties jointly proposed the following modification to objections to pretrial exhibits in the amended joint pretrial order [ECF Doc. 52, p. 10 at ¶ 2]:

The parties request Court approval of an agreement that modifies Court procedure on exhibit objections. The parties agree that they may, but are not required to, object to exhibits before trial, except for authentication objections. Authentication objections will follow the Court's procedures — i.e., notifying “offering counsel in writing within 7 days after the exhibit is listed and made available to opposing parties. Failure to do so may be deemed an admission of authenticity.” The parties believe other exhibit objections are better made in the context of trial and tend to be fewer or more limited in that context. The parties believe use-it-or-lose-it objections to exhibits before trial [and without the context of trial] may lead parties to feel compelled to assert all possible objections, not knowing the trial evidence or context at this time.

Twana Ahmed requests approval of the proposed modification for the reasons stated. If the Court does not approve the jointly requested modification, Mr. Ahmed requests a reasonable amount of time to assert any possible objections.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Amanda C. Hernandez

Amanda C. Hernandez

Attorney-in-charge

Texas State Bar No. 24064411

Southern District Bar No. 1531045

AH Law, PLLC

5718 Westheimer, Suite 1000

Houston, Texas 77057

T: (713) 588-4359

F: (281) 572-5370

amanda@ahfirm.com

and

Amy E. Gibson

Of Counsel

Texas State Bar No. 00793801

Southern District Bar No. 20147

amy@gwfirm.com

David L. Wiley

Of Counsel

Texas State Bar No. 24029901

Southern District Bar No. 34134

david@gwfirm.com

Gibson Wiley PLLC

1500 Jackson Street #109

Dallas, Texas 75201-4923

T: (214) 522-2121

F: (214) 522-2126

Attorneys for Twana Ahmed

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on July 25, 2025, *Plaintiff Twana Ahmed's Objections Concerning Defendant's Amended Exhibit List* was filed via the Court's CM/ECF system, thereby automatically serving Defendant's attorneys of record.

/s/Amanda C. Hernandez
Amanda C. Hernandez